Module #3 Discussion – Expansion of Resolution 830

1. Substantially Equivalent:

- ➤ What does "substantially equivalent" mean now in Resolution 830
- > Should this language remain in the policy or be removed in its entirety?
- ➤ If retained What should "substantially equivalent" mean going forward?
- Are replacement units intended to house the same level of affordability based on occupancy at the time of demolition?

2. Type of Unit

- Note: The presumption is that R830 is/and will remain focused on hard units.
- Should the City explicitly tie City funding to deeply affordable units or not? *Note:*Affordability for HUD-assisted housing goes up to 80% AMI, though funding sources like LIHTC will limit that to 60%.

3. Period of Affordability:

- > Should the Resolution 830 20-year affordability period (FLOOR) remain as is or should the funding source dictate affordability period? *Note: most affordable units now have affordability periods of 40+ years as dictated by funding source.*
- ➤ What tools/mechanisms should the City establish to ensure long-term affordability?

4. Resolution 830 Applicability:

- > Should Resolution 830 "protection" be extended to future City-financed affordable housing units that are not owned and/or developed by ARHA?
- Context: What is the likely scope and scale of expansion (review #s)?
- ➤ If YES:
 - What does/should Resolution 830 protection mean?
 - > Should this be a separate agreement as R-830 is an exclusive agreement between the City and ARHA; or a City policy?
- ➤ If NO
 - ➤ Why Not?